



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/475,784	06/07/95	LIVINGSTON	P 43016-C/JPW/

JOHN P WHITE  
COOPER AND DUNHAM  
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK NY 10036

HM12/0129

EXAMINER	
DUFFY, P	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1645	28

DATE MAILED:

01/29/01

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

### ADVISORY ACTION

☐ THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:

- a) ☐ is extended to run \_\_\_\_\_ or continues to run \_\_\_\_\_ from the date of the final rejection
- b) ☐ expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

☒ Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).

☒ Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 12-29-00 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

☒ The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:

- a) ☐ There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
- b) ☒ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
- c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
- d) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
- e) ☐ They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: The cancellation of claim 94 raises a new rejection for dependent claims 96, 97 + 99 under 112. New rejections are not permitted in an examiner's answer. Since the proposed amendment raises a new ground of rejection it will not be entered.

2. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claims \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

3. ☒ Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment ☐ will be entered ☒ will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:

Claims allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claims rejected: 78-100

However,

- ☒ Applicant's response proposed would have overcome the following rejection(s): had applicants amendment been entered the 112 1<sup>st</sup> P rejection with respect to "prevention" would have been withdrawn.
4. ☒ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because Applicants' response is not persuasive because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.
5. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.

☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.

☐ Other

All rejections are maintained  
for reasons made of record.

*Patricia A. Duffy*  
PATRICIA A. DUFFY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER